

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/829,358	04/22/2004	Hamilton Wong	70602-021	6062
31824 7.	590 02/23/2005		EXAMINER	
MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP			DINH, TIEN QUANG	
18191 VON KARMAN AVE. IRVINE. CA 92612-7107			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3644	
			DATE MAIL ED: 02/22/2000	e

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
; ;	10/829,358	WONG ET AL.	
\ Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tien Dinh	3644	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	1 appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	į
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Cingler SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory properties to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. a reply within the statutory minimum of thin eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice uncertainty. 	This action is non-final. lowance except for formal mat		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 16-20 is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction as	ndrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the ca 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to othe drawing(s) be held in abeya orrection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docur 2. Certified copies of the priority docur 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Book * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ments have been received. ments have been received in a priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
 Notice of References Cited (F10-032) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	8) Paper No	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/829,358 Page 2

Art Unit: 3644

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of group I in the reply filed on 12/13/04 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claims 16-20 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected group, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 12/13/04.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Claims 1 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fischell.

Fishchell discloses a spacecraft having a bus that carries instruments that generate heat.

Fischell also discloses an active cooler and thermal panels mounted to the spacecraft at a location spatially separated from the instrument.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/829,358 Page 3

Art Unit: 3644

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2, 3, 9, 10, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fischell in view of the admitted prior art on page 8 (paragraph 26).

Fischell discloses all claimed parts except for the active cooler being a cryocooler.

However, the admitted prior art teaches cryocoolers having compressors and cold head assembly that includes cold finger are well known.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have used cryocoolers having compressors and cold head assembly that includes cold finger in Fischell's system as taught by the admitted prior art for a more efficiently temperature control system. Please note that mounting the cryocooler to the north thermal radiator panel is a step that one skilled in the art would have taken to accommodate the spacecraft for certain missions.

Re claims 9, 10, and 13, please note that the use of multiple active coolers for thermal capability, redundancy, and reliability are steps that one skilled in the art would have taken to improve the safe operation of the spacecraft.

Claims 4-8 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fischell as modified by the admitted prior art as applied to claims 1-3 above, and further in view of Feger.

Application/Control Number: 10/829,358

Art Unit: 3644

Fischell as modified by the admitted prior art discloses all claimed parts except for the thermal link having braided copper. However, Feger discloses that thermal links made up of braided copper are well known in the art.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have used thermal links made out of braided copper in Fischell's system as modified by the admitted prior art and as taught by Feger to have a more efficiently temperature control system.

Re claim 8, please note that it is an obvious option for one skilled in the art to have a working fluid tube passing through an opening in an earth platform of the spacecraft for access to the instrument portion to be cooled so that the spacecraft can efficiently control the internal temperature.

Please note that the use of a bank of multiple active coolers is obvious to one skilled in the art so that the spacecraft can be efficiently controlled.

Please note that the admitted prior art teaches that cryocoolers are well known. One skilled in the art would have used multiple stage crycoolers and multiple links from the cryocoolers to efficiently control the temperature of the spacecraft.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fischell in view of Gelon et al.

Fischell discloses all claimed parts except for the closed loop control system. However, Gelon et al teaches that a closed loop control system is well known.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have used a closed loop control system in Fischell's system as taught by Gelon et al to efficiently control the temperature of the spacecraft.

Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fischell in view of Caplin.

Fischell discloses all claimed parts except for the solar array. However, Caplin teaches that solar panels are well known.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to have used solar panels in Fischell's system as taught by Caplin for generating power.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

York, Cullimore, Nakamura et al, Lester, and Eller et al teach temperature control means.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tien Dinh whose telephone number is 703-308-2798. The examiner can normally be reached on 9-6.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Teri Luu can be reached on (703)305-7421. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/829,358 Page 6

Art Unit: 3644

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TD

Ti of